Electronically Filed by Superior Court of California, County of Orange, 10/10/2022 11:19:00 AM. 30-2021-01217998-CL-UD-CJC - ROA # 56 - DAVID H. YAMASAKI, Clerk of the Court By M. Porter, Deputy Clerk.



October 10, 2022

Honorable Robert A. Knox, Acting Presiding Judge Honorable John R. Zitny Honorable Scott Van Camp Orange County Superior Court Appellate Division Central Justice Center 700 Civic Center Dr. West Santa Ana, CA 92701

Re: Request for Publication of Opinion in *Attenello v. Basilious* Appellate Division Case No. 30-2021-01217998 Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2021-01209364

To the Honorable Judges Knox, Zitny, and Van Camp:

Pursuant to Rule 8.1120 of the California Rules of Court, Community Legal Aid SoCal ("CLA SoCal") and the undersigned signatories listed below respectfully request that this Court certify for publication the Opinion filed on September 20, 2022 in *Attenello v. Basilious*, Case No. 30-2021-01217998.

CLA SoCal and the undersigned signatories have a strong interest in ensuring that tenants have access to safe, affordable housing and are not wrongfully evicted from their homes. CLA SoCal fights injustice and advocates for social, economic, and racial equity by providing compassionate, holistic, and impactful legal services. CLA SoCal serves low-income clients across a variety of issue areas, including providing free legal assistance and representation to tenants defending against wrongful and unlawful evictions. The undersigned signatories also provide legal services and representation to low-income tenants across California.

As discussed below, the Opinion meets the standards for publication and contains sound legal principles that, if made available as citable precedent, would provide much-needed guidance on the Tenant Protection Act of 2019 ("TPA") to trial courts, landlords, and tenants across California.

I. The Opinion meets the standards for publication pursuant to the California Rules of Court.

The Opinion should be published because it: (a) "Applies an existing rule of law to a set of facts significantly different from those stated in published opinions"; (b) "Modifies, explains,

1



or criticizes with reasons given, an existing rule of law; (c) "Advances a new interpretation, clarification, criticism, or construction of a provision of a constitution, statute, ordinance, or court rule"; and (d) "Involves a legal issue of continuing public interest." (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1105(c)(2), (3), (4), (6).)

II. There is no published opinion addressing the issues presented in *Attenello v. Basilious*.

There is currently no published opinion that addresses whether an agreement that the landlord prepares and presents to a tenant for signature—such as the Realtors Association Form at issue here—can constitute a tenant's written notice terminating the tenancy under Section 1946.2(b)(1)(K) of the TPA. At the demurrer hearing below, the trial court expressed concern that there was no legal precedent on whether the Realtors Association Form satisfied the TPA's requirements. (See Respondents' Supplemental Brief Regarding the Demurrer Hearing at 3 [quoting Official Electronic Recording of Demurrer Hearing ("Hearing") at 36:14-37:00 ["*I don't have any precedent*, nobody has come in and said [the Form] ... has been found valid as meeting the requirements of the TPA."] [emphasis added]].) Indeed, CLA SoCal did not discover any caselaw interpreting Section 1946.2(b)(1)(K) while briefing this appeal, and therefore relied solely on statutory interpretation arguments to support affirmance. For these reasons, the Opinion should be certified for publication. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1105(c)(2).)

III. The Opinion interprets and clarifies the requirements of Section 1946.2(b)(1)(K) of the TPA.

If this Court certifies the Opinion for publication, it would be the first published appellate decision to explain the requirements a landlord must satisfy to establish a just cause basis for eviction under Section 1946.2(b)(1)(K). The Opinion provides critical legal analysis and reasoning regarding Section 1946.2(b)(1)(K) in three ways. *First*, this Court applied statutory interpretation principles to determine that the plain language of Section 1946.2(b)(1)(K) "unambiguously states that the *tenant is to provide* 'the owner written notice . . . or makes a written offer to surrender. . . ." (Opinion at 6.) *Second*, this Court concluded that, based on the plain language of Section 1946.2(b)(1)(K), a tenant's written notice terminating the tenancy "must be served 'as provided in Section 1946." (*Id.* at 7.) *Finally*, this Court reasoned that statutory notice procedures "must be strictly adhered to" and found that the Realtors Association Form "[did] not reflect strict compliance with section 1946.2." (*Id.* [citing *Stancil v. Superior Court* (2021) 11 Cal.5th 381, 394-395].)

For these reasons, the Opinion provides important guidance on how trial courts should interpret Section 1946.2(b)(1)(K). Because of the small body of caselaw on the TPA—and no published precedent interpreting Section 1946.2(b)(1)(K)—the Opinion should be certified for publication. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1105(c)(3)-(4).)



IV. The Opinion involves an issue of continuing public interest.

The Opinion provides a much-needed contribution to the limited universe of caselaw on the rights and duties of landlords and tenants. As discussed above, the Opinion instructs trial courts on how to interpret the TPA, which was enacted to safeguard against arbitrary, discriminatory, and retaliatory evictions, and which remains in effect today. (Respondents' Brief at 6 [summarizing TPA legislative history and intent].)

Additionally, the Opinion affirms the trial court's ruling that the Realtors Association Form does not satisfy the requirements of the TPA. Western Center on Law and Poverty informed this Court that they "ha[ve] seen the Realtors Association Form being utilized across Southern California," and the Form is still in circulation via the California Association of Realtors' website.¹ (Amicus Curiae Brief in Support of Respondents at 8.) Thus, publication is critical to assist trial courts in evaluating the Realtors Association Form—and similar boilerplate agreements that landlords prepare and ask tenants to sign—in future eviction proceedings. For this additional reason, the Opinion should be certified for publication. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.1105(c)(6).)

V. Conclusion

For the reasons outlined above, we respectfully request that this Court certify for publication its September 20, 2022 Opinion in *Attenello v. Basilious*.

Respectfully submitted,

Katelyn Rowe Staff Attorney, Systemic Impact Unit Community Legal Aid SoCal

¹ See Coronavirus Rent Forgiveness, Termination of Tenancy and Possession of Premises Agreement, available at <u>https://www.car.org/-/media/CAR/Documents/Transaction-Center/PDF/</u> <u>Standard-Forms/2020-Winter-Meeting-RE/CRFP 9-21-</u> <u>2020.pdf?la=en&hash=8585A9874D99E0A7411D3F8D3C5A2D73824667FC&hash=85</u> <u>85A9874D99E0A7411D3F8D3C5A2D73824667FC</u> (last accessed on Oct. 10, 2022).



Additional Signatories²:

Leah Simon-Weisberg Alliance of Californians for Community Empowerment

Eric Post BASTA, Inc.

Hilda Chan Bay Area Legal Aid

Alfred A. Gallegos, Director of Litigation, Advocacy & Training Central California Legal Services, Inc.

Samantha Beckett Centro Legal de la Raza

Navneet Grewal, Litigation Counsel Disability Rights California

Michelle Uzeta, Of Counsel Disability Rights Education & Defense Fund

Brooke Weitzman, Chief Executive Officer Elder Law and Disability Rights Center

Dianne Prado, Executive Director HEART L.A.

Javier Beltran Housing Rights Center

Elena Castillo, Practice Group Director, Systemic Impact Litigation Inland Counties Legal Services

Robert J. Reed, Co-Director, Tenant Defense Project Inner City Law Center

Jeffrey M. Uno, Managing Attorney, Eviction Defense Center Ryan M. Kendall, Staff Attorney, Housing and Communities Workgroup Legal Aid Foundation of Los Angeles

² Signatories have been listed alphabetically, and Respondents' counsel attests that the signatories have authorized their inclusion in this request for publication.



Lucie Hollingsworth, Senior Staff Attorney Legal Aid of Marin

Sunny Noh, Supervising Attorney Legal Aid of Sonoma County

James Treggiari, Executive Director Legal Assistance for Seniors

Lila Gitesatani, Staff Attorney National Housing Law Project

Trinidad Ocampo, Director of Housing and Homeless Prevention Neighborhood Legal Services of Los Angeles County

Richard Walker, Supervising Attorney Public Law Center

Stephanie Barclay, Legal Director SLO Legal Assistance Foundation

Ugochi Anaebere-Nicholson, Staff Attorney The Public Interest Law Project

Madeline Howard, Senior Attorney Western Center on Law & Poverty

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) ss. COUNTY OF ORANGE)

I am a citizen of the United States and employed in Orange County, California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is 2101 N. Tustin Avenue, Santa Ana, CA 92651. My electronic address is mandalon@clsocal.org. On October 10, 2022, I caused to be served a copy of the within document:

REQUEST FOR PUBLICATION OF AN UNPUBLISHED OPINION

☑ by transmitting via e-mail or electronic transmission the document listed above to the persons as set forth below.

Steven Silverstein, counsel for Respondents evictions@stevendsilverstein.com

☑ by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Santa Ana, California addressed as set forth below.

Law Offices of Steven D. Silverstein 14351 Red Hill Ave., Suite G Tustin, CA 92780

Hon. Judge Robert Kohler Superior Court of Orange County Central Justice Center Department C61 700 Civic Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on October 10, 2022, at Santa Ana, California

Marilyn Andalon

Marilyn Andalon